3D Scene Understanding

for Real World Applications

Federico Tombari Google, TUM

ELLIS Summer School - Large Scale Al Modena, Sept. 18-22 2023



3D Scene Understanding - Ingredients







Reconstruction/Geometry

Planes Point cloud 3D mesh Voxel map Nerf / Implicit rep

Semantics

Segments Semantic Instance Seg 3D bounding boxes Panoptic

Layouts/Abstraction

Birds eye view 3D Scene graphs Scene Captions

3D Scene Understanding - Applications



Current Smartphone AR capabilities for Scene Understanding



3D Semantic Mesh, ARKit (with Lidar)

Long range depth estimation / Plane estimation, ARCore (monocular) Niantic 3D Mapping (monocular)

Currently available features: 3D Planes, Depth prediction, Persistent anchors/objects, SLAM and 3D Mapping, 3D semantic segmentation, 3D Layouts (with lidar)

Augmented Reality: from headsets to smart glasses



Apple VisionPro



Magic Leap 2



Xreal Air AR glasses



Vuzix Smart Glasses



Project Aria, Meta

Glass form factor



Microsoft HoloLens 2

Immersivity / "smartness"



Apple VisionPro Spatial Audio features (from VisionPro announcement)

Scene understanding for household/service robotics



Incheon Airport Service AIRSTAR Robot

Going from this..

Scene understanding for household/service robotics





TRI home helping robot

Going from this..



..to this

Scene understanding for Autonomous Driving



Waymo Car in San Francisco

MobilEye Car in New York City

AR Head-Up Display – Augmented windshield









AR for Automotive and Navigation





AR and gaze control (from BMW) AR and navigation (Blue Vision)

Agenda









(1/3) Nerf for real applications

Explicit 3D data representation



Voxel map

- discretized 3D coordinates on a regular grid
- organized, no topology
- can handle full 3D



Range (depth) map

- 1-channel image encoding distances
- organized, no topology
- only 2.5D views





- Special cases of a voxel map where each voxel stores:
 - OF: volume occupancy
 - SDF: distance to the nearest surface
- Common variations: Truncated SDF (TSDF), Unsigned DF (UDF)

3D Implicit representations

Learn a **function f** via a non-linear classifier whose decision boundary is the desired 3D surface

The function f approximates an Occupancy Field [1] or a Signed Distance Field [2]

Why?

- No discretization
- Arbitrary topology & resolution
- Low memory footprint



[1] L Mescheder et al, Occupancy Networks: Learning 3D Reconstruction in Function Space, CVPR 2019
 [2] JJ Park et al, DeepSDF: Learning Continuous Signed Distance Functions for Shape Representation, CVPR 2019



L Mescheder et al, Occupancy Networks: Learning 3D Reconstruction in Function Space, CVPR 2019

Summary of 3D implicit representations



3D implicit representations are a type of data representation that uses a function to map from a domain to a range. The function is typically learned by overfitting a neural network.

They tend to be more compact and flexible than traditional explicit representations

Applications

- Data storage / compression
- 3D classification / segmentation
- 3D reconstruction from single view
- 3D generation

Implicit representations: from occupancy to radiance fields



- The network is a simple ReLU MLP that maps from location/view direction to color/density
- Density σ describes how solid/transparent a 3D point is (can model, e.g., fog)
- Conditioning on view direction allows for modeling view-dependent effects

Input: posed images (no explicit 3D geometry or depth) Task: Novel View Synthesis



Training of NeRFs



*This is done in practice with 2 MLPs: one non-view dependant that regresses the density, the other that takes also the viewing direction and computes the RGB (since density should not be view-dependant!)

Images from Mildenhall et al. NeRF. Commun. ACM.

Positional encoding and Fourier features

FΘ (x,y,z,θ,Φ)

 $F_{\Theta}(\gamma(x,y,z),\gamma(\theta,\Phi))$

Adding positional encodings to input coordinates (point and direction) helps recover fine details

Tancik et al.: Fourier Features Let Networks Learn High Frequency Functions in Low Dimensional Domains. NeurIPS, 2020

A simple and powerful representation..

.. still limited for many real world applications

Nerf main limitations for real world applications

- **Pose estimation** is a critical step. Nerf requires dense pose coverage with accurate pose estimation. **Noise and sparsity** highly affect quality.
- **Rendering in real time is still a problem**, especially on embedded/mobile settings (e.g. smartphone)

SPARF: Better poses under sparse settings

Nerfmeshing: real-time mobile rendering of neural meshes

SPARF: Neural Radiance Fields from Sparse and Noisy Poses

CVPR 2023 - Highlight Prune Truong, Marie Julie Rakotosaona, Fabian Manhardt, Federico Tombari

Website: <u>https://prunetruong.com/sparf.github.io/</u> Code: <u>https://github.com/google-research/sparf</u>

Novel-view synthesis given few images and noisy pose

- Goal: Novel-view synthesis via Nerf with access to only few wide-baseline images (as low as 2 or 3), with noisy camera poses.
- Why? This is a realistic scenario, in e.g. robotics, AR/VR or autonomous driving

Our approach SPARF

NeRFs: Challenge in the sparse-view setting

For realistic novel-view renderings, it requires:

- Lots of training images (dense coverage of the 3d space)
- Known and accurate camera poses for the training images

NeRFs: Challenge in the sparse-view setting

Sparse input views with **fixed** ground-truth poses:

- \Rightarrow Overfit to the training views
 - Degenerate geometry
 - Bad novel view rendering

NeRFs: Challenge in the sparse-view setting

How do we get the poses?

- The standard is to use COLMAP, a structure-from-motion approach
- On few, wide-baseline images, COLMAP will most likely fail
- Even when using better matching, the performance of COLMAP degrades as the number of views decrease
- Pose errors will lead to errors in the learnt scene and therefore in the renderings

Contribution

We propose **Sparse Pose Adjusting Radiance Field** (SPARF), a joint pose-NeRF training strategy.

Our approach produces realistic novel-view renderings given

- only few wide-baseline input images (as low as 2 or 3)
- with noisy camera poses.

Related works on NeRF from sparse images

Works which add regularization losses to the NeRF optimization

DietNeRF (ICCV 2021)

Minimizes the CLIP embedding differences between rendered and training images

RegNeRF (CVPR 2022)

Depth-smoothness loss on rendered images,

appearance regularization on rendered images

InfoNeRF (CVPR 2022)

Ray entropy regularization to prevent overfitting

Works which add geometric constraint to the NeRF optimization

Sparse views Sparse views Structure From Moion Structure From Correspondence of the sparse 3D Points Moion Structure From Moion Correspondence of the sparse 3D Points Moion Correspondence of the sparse 3D Points Correspondence of

DS-NeRF

Supervises the rendered depth with sparse depth obtained from triangulation in COLMAP.

But runs COLMAP with ground-truth poses!

They all assume fixed ground-truth poses. \Rightarrow this is unrealistic!

Related works on NeRF from noisy poses

BARF (ICCV 2021) + Follow-ups

SCNeRF (ICCV 2021)

NeRFBARF (ours)Image: A accurate camera posesImage: A accurate camera poses

CamP (SIGGRAPH Asia 2023)

- Proposes to jointly finetune the camera poses with the NeRF
- Follows a coarse to fine strategy to avoid too fast overfitting to a suboptimal solution
- Proposes the Projected Ray Distance loss ⇒ Computes the intersection of the corresponding 2 rays, and measures the re-projection error.
- Proposed loss has a geometric basis but it impacts only the learnt poses

- CamP preconditions camera optimization in camera-optimizing Neural Radiance Field
- Proposes using a proxy problem to compute a whitening transform that eliminates the correlation between camera parameters and normalizes their effects

Our approach: SPARF

Main challenges:

- NeRF overfit to the few training images without learning a meaningful geometry
- Previous works use the photometric loss. It is applied to each image independently

We propose a joint pose-NeRF training strategy.

We add **two additional constraints into the NeRF** optimization, which rely on multi-view geometry principles.

Our approach: Multi-view correspondence loss

dense matches

Goal:

- Geometrically connect the training images to convergence to a globally consistency 3D solution over poses and geometry.
- Direct supervision on rendered depth ⇒
 should be close to the real surface

Our approach: Depth-consistency loss

Goal: Ensure the reconstructed scene is **consistent from any viewing directions**, including the ones without RGB supervision.

Main idea: Use the rendered depth from the training viewpoints to create pseudo-depth supervision for novel, unseen viewpoints.

We also include a visibility mask, to tackle occlusion.

This optimizes over the neural radiance field weights only. The poses are fixed here.

Results: Experimental set-up

- Evaluation on multiple datasets: object-centered, forward-facing scenes, indoor non-forward-facing scenes.
- Sparse-view scenario: only 3 available. Results for 6 or 9 in the paper.
- Different 'noisy poses' initializations.
- In the paper, results with fixed ground-truth poses as well.

DTU dataset

(DTU Informatics 2010, Aanaes et al)

(BMVA 2021, Shafiei et al)

LLFF dataset

Replica dataset

```
(2019, Straub et al.)
```


Results: Joint pose-NeRF training on **DTU (3 views)** from noisy poses.

- DTU contains object-level scenes with wide-baseline views spanning a half hemisphere.
- Noisy poses created by synthetically perturbing the ground-truth poses with 15% of Gaussian noise.
- Initial rotation error ≅ 15°
- Initial translation error ≅ 71.0

Method	Rot. \downarrow	Trans. \downarrow	PSNR \uparrow	SSIM \uparrow	LPIPS \downarrow	$\text{DE}\downarrow$
BARF [23]	10.33	51.5	10.71 (9.76)	0.43 (0.62)	0.59 (0.36)	1.90
RegBARF [23, 31]	11.20	52.8	10.38 (9.20)	0.45 (0.62)	0.61 (0.38)	2.33
DistBARF [4,23]	11.69	55.7	9.50 (9.15)	0.34 (0.76)	0.67 (0.36)	1.90
SCNeRF [20]	3.44	16.4	12.04 (11.71)	0.45 (0.66)	0.52 (0.30)	0.85
SPARF (Ours)	1.81	5.0	17.74 (18.92)	0.71 (0.83)	0.26 (0.13)	0.12

[23] C.H. Lin, M.W. Ma, A. Torralba, S. Lucey. Barf: Bundle-adjusting neural radiance fields. ICCV 2021

[20] Y. Jeong, S. Ahn, C. Choy, A. Anandkumar, M. Cho, J. Park. Self-calibrating neural radiance fields. ICCV 2021

[31] M. Niemeyer, J.T. Barron, B. Mildenhall, M.S. Sajjadi, A. Geiger, N. Radwan. Regnerf: Regularizing neural radiance fields for view synthesis from sparse inputs. CVPR 2022.

[4] J.T. Barron, B. Mildenhall, D. Verbin, P.P. Srinivasan, P. Hedman. Mip-nerf 360: Unbounded anti-aliased neural radiance fields. CVPR 2022

Inputs:



RGB

Results: Joint pose-NeRF training on LLFF (3 views) from identity poses.

- LLFF contains forward-facing views
- We start from identity poses and refine them along with training the NeRF

	Rot. (°) \downarrow	Trans. (×100) \downarrow	$PSNR\uparrow$	SSIM \uparrow	LPIPS \downarrow
BARF [23]	2.04	11.6	17.47	0.48	0.37
RegBARF [23, 31]	1.52	5.0	18.57	0.52	0.36
DistBARF [4,23]	5.59	26.5	14.69	0.34	0.49
SCNeRF [20]	1.93	11.4	16.52	0.42	0.47
SPARF (Ours)	1.15	4.9	19.38	0.57	0.35

[23] C.H. Lin, M.W. Ma, A. Torralba, S. Lucey. Barf: Bundle-adjusting neural radiance fields. ICCV 2021

[20] Y. Jeong, S. Ahn, C. Choy, A. Anandkumar, M. Cho, J. Park. Self-calibrating neural radiance fields. ICCV 2021

[31] M. Niemeyer, J.T. Barron, B. Mildenhall, M.S. Sajjadi, A. Geiger, N. Radwan. Regnerf: Regularizing neural radiance fields for view synthesis from sparse inputs. CVPR 2022.

[4] J.T. Barron, B. Mildenhall, D. Verbin, P.P. Srinivasan, P. Hedman. Mip-nerf 360: Unbounded anti-aliased neural radiance fields. CVPR 2022

Inputs:





RGB

REPLICA Dataset. Inputs:





Limitations for real applications

- **Pose estimation** is a critical step. Nerf requires dense pose coverage with accurate pose estimation. **Noise and sparsity** highly affect quality.
- Rendering in real time is still a problem, especially on embedded/mobile settings



NeRFMeshing: Distilling Neural Radiance Fields into Geometrically-Accurate 3D Meshes Marie-Julie Rakotosaona Fabian Manhardt Diego Martin Arroyo Michael Niemeyer Abhijit Kundu Federico Tombari



Nerf rendering dilemma

NeRFs are optimized exclusively for visual consistency -> lack of accurate underlying geometry



- 1. NerfMeshing extracts a **neural mesh** from Nerf, so that **geometry** can be used for shape relighting, physics-based simulation, geometry-based compositionality, ..
- 2. Enables fast rendering, since meshes are much faster to render than radiance fields
- 3. While preserving view dependency

*

Method

Main challenges:

- NeRF density field does not represent a unique surface
- How to extract a surface from a pre-trained NeRF?

Main idea:

- Use NeRF rendered depth maps to infer:
 - A SDF
 - A set of view-dependent appearance features



NeRFMeshing



We train two additional networks:

- **Signed Surface Approximation Network (SSAN)**: trained to regress, from a given position **x**, a TSDF value **t**, normal **n** and appearance features **f**
- **Appearance model:** takes predicted normal **n** and appearance features **f** together with the viewing direction **d** to regress a view-dependant color **c**

Advantages:

- Small and modular method that can extract a mesh from any pre-trained NeRF
- Mesh representation can be **used in computer graphics rendering pipelines with minimal changes** to include the view dependent network
- Appearance model allows faster rendering than Nerf

NeRFMeshing: Rendering Appearance



Results

Results: Geometry Comparison



Results: Unbounded Scene Rendering



Results: Object Rendering



Results: Physics Based Simulations



Conclusion, future directions

- Modular method that can be trained on any pretrained NeRF
- Mesh format enables training in computer graphics pipelines with minimal changes for the view dependance
- Accurate mesh enables physics based simulations
- Mesh are still limited in the way they can represent e.g. thin structures and specular/reflective surfaces



Ground Truth

Future direction: online NeRF reconstruction/SLAM

- NEWTON: Neural View-Centric Mapping for On-the-Fly Large-Scale SLAM (paper)
 - Neural Field mapping method which works with an dynamic loop-closing SLAM system
 - Dynamically allocate, train and render multiple local NeRFs
 - Strong robustness to large pose updates.

Live NeRF reconstruction in real-time



(2/3) Open Set 3D Semantic Segmentation

Semantics for 3D Scene Understanding

Typical Tasks



3D Semantic Segmentation

Assign a semantic class to each point in a given 3D scene.



3D Instance Segmentation

Predict instance masks and semantic labels for each object in a given 3D scene.

3D Object Detection

Detect the 3D bounding box of each object in a given 3D scene.

Active field of research with significant progress over the last years



3D Semantic Segmentation: <u>https://paperswithcode.com/sota/semantic-segmentation-on-scannet?metric=test%20mloU</u> 3D Instance Segmentation: <u>https://paperswithcode.com/sota/3d-instance-segmentation-on-scannetv2?metric=mAP%20%40%2050</u>

Example 3D Instance Segmentations from Mask3D 😼 [1]



[1] Schult et al. "Mask3D: Mask Transformer for 3D Instance Segmentation" ICRA'23

Example 3D Instance Segmentations from Mask3D 😼 [1]



Input: 3D Point Cloud



Output: 3D Semantic Instances



[1] Schult et al. "Mask3D: Mask Transformer for 3D Instance Segmentation" ICRA'23

Works well for semantic classes seen during training (closed-world setting)



Input 3D Scene



Predicted 3D Instance Masks

[1] Schult et al. "Mask3D: Mask Transformer for 3D Instance Segmentation" ICRA'23

3D Scene Understanding: Limitations of Closed-Set Assumption

Example "in-the-wild" scene (<u>link</u>)



Table Olining Table Backpack

3D Scene Understanding: Limitations of Closed-Set Assump

Example "in-the-wild" scene (link)





Input 3D Scene

3D Semantics

Ceiling • Nightstand • Bench • Couch

Towards Open-Set 3D Scene Understanding

using Visual-Language Models (VLM)

Visual-language models such as CLIP or ALIGN [1,2] consist of an *image*- and *text*-encoder. They are trained:

- on internet-scale image-caption pairs
- in a contrastive manner

If the text caption describes the image, then the encodings of both modalities (text and image) correlate, otherwise they do not.



[1] Radford et al. "Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision" ICML'21
[2] Jia et al. "Scaling Up Visual and Vision-Language Representation" ICML'21

Towards Open-Set 3D Scene Understanding (cont.)

using Visual-Language Models (VLM)

We can use this mechanism for zero-short image classification:

- 1. Compute encoding of both the text and image
- 2. Take dot-product of normalized encodings
- 3. Image class corresponds to maximum response

Since VLMs are "trained on the internet", they have seen numerous and rare concepts which makes them great candidates for **open-set** scene understanding.



[1] Radford et al. "Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision" ICML'21
[2] Jia et al. "Scaling Up Visual and Vision-Language Representation" ICML'21

Open-Set 3D Semantic Segmentation

OpenScene: 3D Scene Understanding with Open Vocabularies

How do we transfer **open-set** scene understanding to **3D** scenes?

OpenScene [3] obtains **per-pixel** multi-view open-set features from LSeg [1] or OpenSeg [2] and projects them onto **3D points** of the scene point cloud.

A sparse 3D CNN is then trained to predict per-point open-set features distilled from 2D ones, 2D and 3D features are ensembled via CLIP supervision, resulting in a scene with associated per-point open-set features.



Zero-shot Semantic Segmentation



"anvthing soft" - Property



"where to sit" - Affordance





[1] Li et al. "Language-driven Semantic Segmentation" ICLR'22

[2] Ghiasi et al. "Scaling open-vocabulary image segmentation with image-level labels" ECCV'22

[3] Peng et al. "OpenScene: 3D Scene Understanding with Open Vocabularies" CVPR'23

Open-Set 3D Instance Segmentation

OpenMask3D: Open-Vocabulary 3D Instance Segmentation

For many applications it is important to differentiate between **multiple** instances of the **same** class.

OpenMask3D [1] obtains **per-segment** open-set features by first segmenting the 3D scene into class-agnostic segments using Mask3D [2], then the projected segments are cropped in 2D at multiple scales to obtain CLIP features for each 3D segment.





[1] Takmaz et al. "OpenMask3D: Open-Vocabulary 3D Instance Segmentation" arXiv'23
[2] Schult et al. "Mask3D: Mask Transformer for 3D Instance Segmentation" ICRA'23

Open-Set 3D Instance Segmentation

OpenMask3D: Open-Vocabulary 3D Instance Segmentation

Multiple object instances

Rich query descriptions

Long-tail objects



[1] Takmaz et al. "OpenMask3D: Open-Vocabulary 3D Instance Segmentation" arXiv'23

What about open set with implicit representations?

81

Open-Set 3D Scene Understanding using Implicit Representations

Explicit v.s. Implicit Representations: Polygon Meshes and Point Clouds or NeRF Representations?

Can we use Implicit NeRF representations for Open-Set 3D Scene Understanding?

Idea: Ground CLIP features (or any other features from a pre-trained visual encoding aligned with language) volumetrically inside NeRFs (in addition to color and density).







Open-Set 3D Scene Understanding using Implicit Representations

LERF: Language Embedded Radiance Fields

LERF [1] distills CLIP features into a NeRF representation, based on CLIP encodings of multi-scale image patches.

- Using the original CLIP image-encoder does not require fine-tuning
- Since CLIP is a global feature (per patch/image), it includes a strategy to efficiently compute CLIP at the "right" object scale for each scene component
- Similar to DFF [2], LERF regresses also DINO [3] features to spatially regularize the CLIP space (DINO is sensitive to location)





[1] Kerr et al. "LERF: Language Embedded Radiance Fields" ICCV'23
[2] Kobayashi et al. "Decomposing NeRF for Editing via Feature Field Distillation" NeuRIPS'22
[3] Caron et al. "Emerging Properties in Self-Supervised Vision Transformers" ICCV'21

Open-Set 3D Scene Understanding using Implicit Representations

LERF: Language Embedded Radiance Fields



Open-Set 3D Scene Understanding using Implicit Representations

Open-Set 3D Scene Segmentation with Rendered Novel Views

Contributions:

- Replace the global visual embedding (like CLIP, Align) with a **pixel-wise embedding (LSeg, OpenSeg)**, achieving a **significant simplification of the framework** (no more multiscale needed) and **better quality** on segment borders
- Use Nerf's NVS capabilities to **render new views** where the original camera trajectory missed important scene parts, based on where the extracted open-set features disagree





Uncertainty

Existing and novel poses

Novel views

[1] Engelmann et al. "Open-Set 3D Scene Segmentation with Rendered Novel Views" arXiv'23
Pre-trained VLM encoding: image/patch-, region/mask- and pixel-level



[1] Radford et al. "Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision" ICML'21
[2] Jia et al. "Scaling Up Visual and Vision-Language Representation" ICML'21
[3] Li et al, Language-driven Semantic Segmentation, ICLR 22
[4] Ghiasi et al, Scaling Open-Vocabulary Image Segmentation with Image-Level Labels, ECCV 22

Localize arbitrary objects, properties or materials using open-vocabulary text queries.



Engelmann et al. "Open-Set 3D Scene Segmentation with Rendered Novel Views" arXiv'23

Localize arbitrary objects, properties or materials using open-vocabulary text queries.





Localize arbitrary objects, properties or materials using open-vocabulary text queries.



Engelmann et al. "Open-Set 3D Scene Segmentation with Rendered Novel Views" arXiv'23

Localize arbitrary objects, properties or materials using open-vocabulary text queries.



Engelmann et al. "Open-Set 3D Scene Segmentation with Rendered Novel Views" arXiv'23

OpenSet 3D Scene Understanding: Zero-Shot 3D Semantic Segmentation

Evaluation on Replica



[1] Kerr et al. "LERF: Language Embedded Radiance Fields" ICCV'23
[2] Peng et al. "OpenScene: 3D Scene Understanding with Open Vocabularies" CVPR'23
[3] Engelmann et al. "Open-Set 3D Scene Segmentation with Rendered Novel Views" arXiv'23

(3/3) 3D Scene Graphs

3D Scene Understanding with Scene Graphs

Definition of semantic scene graphs (SSG)

SSG with images

SSG with 3D scenes: inference

Definition of semantic scene graphs

3D Scene Representations

Text

3D Reconstruction

Scene Graph

A **Bedroom** consisting of a **bed** with **3 pillows** and a **desk** with an **office chair**. **Next** to the **bed** there is a little **nightstand** and in **front** of the bad is a **cabinet** standing.





Semantic scene graphs

Graph that relates the components of a scene

- Nodes: objects
- Edges: relationships between objects
 - Action (holding, eating, riding, sitting, ...)
 - Proximity (near, left of, front of, above, ...)
 - Support (on, hanging on, ...)
 - Comparison (same as, smaller than, ...)
- Attributes: object properties
 - color, shape, material, ...
- **Recent trend:** enrich nodes with
 - learned features
 - geometric features (bounding box)



Semantic scene graphs in 3D



From 3D scenes to 3D objects/shapes

- Rather than representing components of a scene, a graph is associated with a single object or 3D shape
- Each node is typically a semantic component of the shape itself (e.g. armrests and legs for a chair), while edges can represent geometric adjacency or semantic relationships
- This can help generating different shapes from a given category in a semantically coherent way, or interpolate between two given shapes (e.g. for retrieval applications)



K. Mo et al, StructureNet: Hierarchical Graph Networks for 3D Shape Generation, Siggraph Asia 2019

3D Scenes



Why scene graphs?





Generation

Synthetic data generation

Indoor design / work placement

Scene editing / manipulation



S. Chaillou, ArchiGAN: a Generative Stack for Apartment Building Design, nVidia, 2019

Scene Graph Processing





2D Convolutional Networks:

- Locality and Receptive Field
- Hierarchical Learning
- Parameter Sharing

Can we bring these nice properties from 2D convolutions also to Scene Graphs?



Scene Graph Network - general architecture



Semantic scene graphs in images

2D Semantic scene graphs

From image to scene graph



[Xu CVPR'17] Use region proposals to compute 3D scene graph via an iterative message passing network

From scene graph to image



[Johnson CVPR'18] Computes images from semantic graphs (object class) [Ashual ICCV'19] Additionally employs visual features for objects



Oron Ashual and Lior Wolf. Specifying object attributes and relations in interactive scene generation. ICCV 2019. Justin Johnson, Agrim Gupta, and Li Fei-Fei. Image generation from scene graphs. CVPR 2018. Danfei Xu, Yuke Zhu, Christopher Choi, Li Fei-Fei. Scene Graph Generation by Iterative Message Passing. CVPR 2017 H. Dhamo, A. Farshad, I. Laina, N. Navab, G. D. Hager, F. Tombari, C. Rupprecht. Semantic image manipulation using scene graphs. CVPR 2020

Can we use scene graphs as abstract representation for 3D scenes, similarly to what we do with images?



3D scene scan

Image

Learning 3D Semantic Scene Graphs from 3D scenes

3DSSG Dataset

3D Semantic Scene Graph Dataset available at <u>3DSSG.github.io</u> 3D Graph Prediction Network

Learned Method for Semantic Scene Graph Prediction based on PointNet and GCNs

Scene Retrieval

Application: 3D and 2D-3D Scene Retrieval in Changing Environments



J. Wald, H. Dhamo, N. Navab, F. Tombari, Learning 3D Semantic Scene Graphs from 3D Indoor Reconstructions, CVPR 2020

3DSEMANTIC Scene Graphs

Learning 3D Semantic Scene Graphs



none or multiple predicate predictions per edge

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} = \lambda_{obj} \mathcal{L}_{obj} + \mathcal{L}_{pred} \qquad \mathcal{L} = -\alpha_t (1 - p_t)^{\gamma} \log p_t$

Results Learning 3D Semantic Scene Graphs

behind (y)



2D-3D Scene Retrieval







Towards Persistent Scene Understanding: Graph-based Change Detection

A byproduct of scene retrieval is semantic change detection (including changed relationships and objects).



Project page (including dataset): <u>https://3DSSG.github.io</u>

We have seen how scene graphs can be predicted offline from a full 3D scan/partial view of a scene

Can we instead predict them **incrementally** and **online**, as part of a SLAM pipeline?

Online Semantic Scene Graphs



SceneGraphFusion: Incremental 3D Scene Graph Prediction from RGB-D Sequences Shun-Cheng Wu, Johanna Wald, Keisuke Tateno, Nassir Navab, Federico Tombari CVPR 2021

Input



Frame-wise Online Prediction

floor



3D Semantic Reconstruction + Scene Graph



Semantic Scene Graphs model high-level semantics of objects and their relationships Nodes: object classes

Edges: relationships between the connected nodes

Goal: incrementally predict the semantic scene graph with SLAM in real-time from an RGB-D sequence

Proposed framework



- Incrementally build globally consistent 3D geometric segmentation from RGB-D sequence using [1]
- Extracts neighbor segments in view
- Compute
 - a node feature on each segment using PointNet
 - an edge features on each pair based on stat indicators between the two segments (centroid distance, std of point cloud, ..)
- A GNN predicts object classes on each node and relationship on edges
- Each partial graph is **fused into a global 3D scene graph**

[1] Tateno, Keisuke, Federico Tombari, and Nassir Navab. "Real-time and scalable incremental segmentation on dense slam." 2015 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). IEEE, 2015.

Results







Input: RGB-D -> Monocular ?

Incremental 3D Semantic Scene Graph Prediction from RGB Sequences Shun-Cheng Wu, Keisuke Tateno, Nassir Navab, Federico Tombari CVPR 2023

Objective: estimate the 3D scene graph incrementally from a RGB sequence.



1) Incremental Entity Estimation (IEE)

- a) Sparse Mapping: ORB-SLAM3 [1]
- b) 2D class agnostic instance segmentation via EntitySegmentation Network [2]
- c) Extract 3D bounding boxes via ApproxMVBB [3]
- d) Compute Neighbor Graph, where nodes are bounding boxes and connected to multiple keyframes



Campos, C., Elvira, R., Rodríguez, J. J. G., Montiel, J. M., & Tardós, J. D. (2021). Orb-slam3: An accurate open-source library for visual, visual-inertial, and multimap slam. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, *37*(6), 1874-1890.
 Qi, L., Kuen, J., Wang, Y., Gu, J., Zhao, H., Lin, Z., ... & Jia, J. (2021). Open-world entity segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.14228.
 Chang, C. T., Gorissen, B., & Melchior, S. (2011). Fast oriented bounding box optimization on the rotation group SO (3, R). *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, *30*(5), 1-16.

2) Semantic Scene Graph Predictor (SSGP)

- Node Feature: MVCNN [1] with Res18 (concatenated features from the ROIs of all associated keyframes)
- Edge Feature: similar to SceneGraphFusion (various • heuristics between two bboxes) + relative pose encoding











Semantic Scene Graph Prediction (SSGP)

[1] Su, H., Maji, S., Kalogerakis, E., & Learned-Miller, E. (2015). Multi-view convolutional neural networks for 3d shape recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision (pp. 945-953).
Roculto		Mothod		Recall(%)			mIoU(%)		mPrec(%)		mRecall(%)	
Results		Method	Rel.	Obj.	Pred.	Obj.	Pred.	Obj.	Pred.	Obj.	Pred.	
GT segmentation	GT	IMP [61]	8.1	31.6	95.6	19.7	24.3	42.8	43.8	30.6	28.2	
		VGfM [15]	11.1	38.1	95.4	25.6	26.9	47.2	43.8	39.1	33.6	
		Wald et al. [56]	26.5	52.3	91.3	28.1	19.4	39.0	30.4	47.6	28.3	
		Wu et al. [59]	31.4	58.4	92.0	32.6	32.5	45.7	32.9	48.2	65.6	
		Ours	54.5	75.8	95.9	55.1	45.2	66.6	51.4	79.4	70.3	
	Dense	IMP [61]	28.7	58.8	69.4	23.9	27.7	33.3	36.5	39.7	46.0	
InSea from		VGfM [15]	39.9	68.6	73.4	37.5	31.9	48.5	41.8	57.2	49.6	
		Wald et al. [56]	18.2	42.2	93.4	19.4	23.9	33.8	37.7	33.0	28.3	
depth data		Wu et al. [59]	39.3	67.3	82.8	41.7	31.1	52.1	34.7	59.3	61.6	
		Ours	42.2	67.9	89.6	41.3	37.1	52.9	43.9	59.1	56.7	
- EntityNet from RGB data	Sparse	IMP [61]	26.8	52.9	72.2	23.1	18.2	33.3	26.9	45.0	31.4	
		VGfM [15]	29.9	57.6	74.3	26.6	24.0	39.1	31.5	41.9	46.7	
		Wald et al. [56]	12.3	31.0	81.6	9.1	21.4	17.4	31.9	16.8	34.9	
		Wu et al. [59]	13.6	35.9	81.5	6.3	12.9	9.38	30.7	10.8	15.2	
		Ours	29.5	58.0	80.4	30.4	27.0	40.1	38.7	52.9	51.3	
		Ours (i)	31.2	59.0	80.6	30.6	26.4	41.8	37.9	54.9	50.5	

Table 2. Evaluation of scene graph prediction task on 3RScan/3DSSG [56] with 20 objects and 8 predicate classes. We evaluate all methods on 3RScan with different input types.

Incremental 3D Semantic Scene Graph Prediction from RGB Sequences ECCV22-166

Thanks for the attention!

Credits and collaborators (possibly incomplete):

Helisa Dhamo (TUM)	Marie-Julie Rakotosaona (Google) David J. Tan (Google)				
	David J. Tan (Google)				
Abhijit Kundu (Google) D					
Diego Martin Arroyo (Google) P	Prune Truong (ETH)				
Ferjad Naeem (Google, ETH) K	Keisuke Tateno (Google)				
Nassir Navab (TUM)	Luc Van Gool (ETH)				
J Michael Niemever (Google)	Johanna Wald (Google) Shun-Cheng Wu (TUM)				
S Evin Pinar Oernek (TUM)					

We offer internships/research position and research collaborations across Google, ETH and TUM around these topics.